3. CLOSING THE LOOP: The results are surprisingly positive, which means we do not need to amend the courses in which the oral exams have been implemented. In the Fall of 2011, SP 1, 3 and 4 will continue to give oral interviews at the end of each coul Ofvsein wrd erto tecntthe est6(n)-3(d)5(ard)7s

electronically: one the first week of class (baseline) and one during finals week (to assess growth).

- d. Four changes/additions in assessment occurred during this review cycle:
  - i. A new version (v. 3) of the IDI came out over the summer of 2010, and therefore the fall group was given v. 3. Although the scales are

<sup>3</sup> ¥¤«±°°°¤i '> i ª i ጬ"« ¢" \$ /&' · · « ®Ł · · · + 也 · · « ®¥ ª °š°¥« ª œš · · · upon which SP/FR 150 was modeled)

# Appendix E

## Benchmarks (World Christians/ IDI)

Tentative benchmark established in 2009:

i

i i All students will experience growth in their *overall developmental* profile (DS) and at least 80% will move up at least 10-15 points on the overall scale. Group average will increase by at least 10-15 points along same DS scale

 adapt to unfamiliar cultural contexts as well as undergo positive reentry and re-integration to the home environment

#### Assessment

As we developed and implemented the WIM program, a central question we

on

above, both the WIM (M = 91.02, SD = 13.96) and non-WIM groups (M = 92.81, SD = 10.89) started with a developmental orientation of minimization.

(b) Gender:

		Mean							
I	N	I Pre- IDI	SD	Post- IDI	SD	Change in Score	t	Sig. (2- Tailed)	Effect Size

Table 3: Relationship of Group Membership and Gender on Pre and
Post Test Developmental Orientation Scores: Two Factor ANOVA

Source df SS MS F

l

## Table 5:

## Distribution by Developmental Orientation at Pre and Post Test of Non-WIM and WIM Students

Pre Non-WIM (N=18) %